'Roe v Wade

(Continued from page 1.) right to abortion came from, rather it provided several areas of the Constitution that might provide such a right. Alito wrote that the Casey decision "did not defend this unfocused analysis," instead grounding the right in the "liberty" protected by the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.

The court's opinion recognized that the Fourteenth Amendment's Due Process Clause has been found to guarantee certain rights that are not spelled out in the Constitution, but that those this Nation's history and tradition." Abortion, the court this category," as "such a right was entirely unknown in American law" until the late 20th century.

The earliest sources for a right to an abortion, the Court said, are "a few" state and district court decisions from "shortly before Roe," and "a small number of law review articles from the same time period."

Alito referenced the dissenting opinion from Justices Stephen Breyer, Elena Kagan, and Sonia Sotomayor, stating that it "is very candid that it cannot show that a constitutional right to abortion has any foundation, let 'in this Nation's history and tradition.'"

"The dissent's failure to engage with this long tradition is devastative to its position," Alito wrote.

The majority opinion also noted that the dissent did not provide any "serious discussion" of the states' interest in protecting the life of a fetus, while also making clear that the Court's ruling "is not based on any view about if and when prenatal life is entitled to any of the rights enjoyed after birth."

The court then addressed the concept of stare decisis - the tradition of following established court precedent.

"Overruling a precedent is a serious matter," Alito wrote. "It is not a step that should be taken lightly."

Still, he said, if the Supreme Court never overturned precedent, "American constitutional law as we know it would be unrecognizable, and this would be a different country."

The Court then asserted that there are five reasons why Roe and Casey should be overruled: "the nature of their error, the quality of their reasoning, the 'workability' of the rules they imposed on the country, their disruptive effect on other areas of the law, and the absence of concrete reliance."

The Court explained that Casey's test of whether a law places an "undue burden" on the ability to get an abortion "has scored poorly on the

workability scale," citing the late Justice Antonin Scalia, who said that the test is "inherently standardless."

The majority criticized Casey for its vagueness and ambiguity, and its failure to give a "clear answer" as to how to apply its undue bur-

The opinion went on to explain that Roe and Casey disrupted other areas of law, claiming that they "have diluted the strict standard for facial constitutional challenges," ignored key judicial principles, and "distorted First Amendment doctrines."

Addressing the issue of reliance, the Court stated that rights are "deeply rooted in such an interest typically arises "where advance planning of great precision is said, "does not fall within most obviously a necessity." Alito wrote that Casey itself "conceded that those traditional reliance interests were not implicated because getting an abortion is generally 'unplanned activity."

> As for Casey's claim that people have made decisions about their relationships in reliance on abortion being an available option, the Court said that this is not concrete enough, and that "this Court is ill-equipped to assess 'generalized assertions about the national psyche."

Alito then addressed the argument made in Casey that "[t]he American people's belief in the rule of law would alone a 'deeply rooted' one, be shaken if they lost respect for this Court as an institution that decides important cases based on principle, not 'social and political pres-

> While he recognized that there is indeed "a special danger" that the public will view a decision this way, Alito countered that "we cannot allow our decisions to be

affected by any extraneous influences such as concern about the public's reaction to our work."

Public reaction to a possible overruling of Roe well before the Court handed down Friday's decision. A leak of a draft opinion by Alito from February that reached the same conclusion caused nationwide debate and promoted pro-choice activist protests at the homes of the six conservative justices. In addition, dozens of pro-

life pregnancy centers were vandalized since the opinion leak, Catholic churches were targeted for protests and unrest, and a suspect was charged with attempted murder for allegedly trying to assassinate Justice Brett Kavanaugh.

Despite this, Alito wrote that the response to the Court's ruling was unknown at the time the case was decided, nor would it matter.

"We do not pretend to know how our political system or society will respond to today's decision overruling Roe and Casey. And even if we could foresee what will happen, we would have no authority to let that knowledge influence our decision," he wrote. "We therefore hold that the Constitution does not confer a right to abortion. Roe and Casey must be overruled, and the authority to regulate abortion must be returned to the people and their elected representatives."

As for any future constitutional challenges to abortion restrictions, the Court said those laws should generally be valid as long as they have a rational basis.

People protest about abortion, Friday, June 24, 2022, outside the Supreme Court

The dissent accused the majority of ignoring a woman's interest in making decisions about child bearing for

COUNTY

"It says that from the very moment of fertilization, a woman has no rights to speak of. A State can force her to bring a pregnancy to term, even at the steepest personal and familial costs," Breyer, Kagan and Sotomayor said.

"Across a vast array of circumstances, a State will be able to impose its moral choice on a woman and coerce her to give birth to a child," they added. The dissent also claimed

that the court's ruling jeopardizes other rights, such as the rights to contraception and same-sex marriage. "They are all part of the

same constitutional fabric, protecting autonomous decisionmaking over the most personal of life decisions," the dissenting justices said. number of Republi-

can-led states have already passed "trigger laws," in the event Roe was overturned, that would immediately restrict access to abortion. Georgia, Iowa, Ohio and

South Carolina all have laws banning abortions after the six-week mark, which have been ruled unconstitutional but would likely be revisited if Roe is overturned, the Guttmacher Institute, a proabortion research group, has reported.

On the other hand, prochoice advocates will have to work to codify Roe or enact looser abortion restrictions by passing state-level legislation.

New York passed a bill in 2018 designed to codify Roe, and other blue states are ex-Supreme Court's ruling.

pected to follow suit after the in Washington. YOUR ATTENTION, PLEASE By Timothy E. Parker E C R 0 0 D 0 M S K 0 0 B O S 0 E M O S E N 0 0 E 0 S E E 0 В 0

				TEST KES	ULIS			
Contaminant	Violation Y/N	Date Collected	Level Detected	Range of Detects o # of Samples Exceeding MCL/ACL/MRDL	Unit Measure -ment	MCLG	MCL	Likely Source of Contamination
Inorganic	Contan	ninants						
10. Barium	N	2018*	.0092	.00560092	ppm	2	2	Discharge of drilling wastes; discharge from metal refinerie erosion of natural deposits
13. Chromium	N	2018*	1.9	.8 – 1.9	ppb	100	100	Discharge from steel and pulp mills; erosion of natural depos
14. Copper	N	2018/20*	0	0	ppm	1.3	AL=1.3	Corrosion of household plumbing systems; erosion of natural deposits; leaching from wood preservatives
16. Fluoride	N	2018*	.169	.166169	ppm	4	4	Erosion of natural deposits; water additive which promotes strong teeth; discharge from fertilizer and aluminum factorie
17. Lead	N	2018/20*	1	0	ppb	0	AL=15	Corrosion of household plumbing systems, erosion of natural deposits
Disinfection	n By-Pr	oducts						
81. HAA5	N 2	2021 1	0.5 N	o Range ppb	· 2	0		-Product of drinking water infection.
82. TTHM [Total trihalomethanes]	N 2	2021 3	3.55 N	o Range ppb		0	80 By	-product of drinking water lorination.
Chlorine	N 2	2021 1	.1 .9	- 1.5 mg/		0 MRD		ater additive used to control crobes

Holmes County HERALD



Inside Holmes County In Mississippi Outside Mississippi\$50.00 Senior Citizens (60 or older)

> Take \$1.00 off the above rates. To subscribe, mail with your check to:

> Holmes County Herald P.O. Box 60 Lexington, MS 39095

Please enter a subscription to the *Holmes County Herald* for the following:

Name	
Address	
City	
State & Zip	

(No cash please)

Amount enclosed \$