

Lanier County News
is owned by
Good Shepherd
Media, LLC

CONTACT US:

By Phone:
229-482-8230 or
229-854-0186

By Location or Mail:
60 Cypress Trail
Lakeland, Georgia 31635



Carol A. Moore
Publisher
carol.moore@laniercountynewsonline.com



Alicia Davis
Marketing
marketing@laniercountynewsonline.com




Theba Sirmans
Marketing
marketing@laniercountynewsonline.com



Marsha Tallent
Digital Editor
marsha.tallent@laniercountynewsonline.com



Cliff Ulmer
Cartoonist
cliff.ulmer@laniercountynewsonline.com



Jon Moore
Cartoonist
Jon.Moore@laniercountynewsonline.com



JnC Photography
Staff Photographer
jncphotography@laniercountynewsonline.com

OUR GOAL: Lanier County News is created proudly for the citizens of Lanier and the surrounding area by Good Shepherd Media in Lakeland, GA. Our goal is to produce a quality, community oriented newspaper that you, our readers, are proud of. We will reach this goal through hard work, teamwork, loyalty and a strong dedication toward printing the truth.

OUR POLICIES: Letters to the Editor are welcome. Please sign and include address, telephone number and e-mail.

•Liability for an error in advertising will not exceed the cost of space occupied by the error.

•All pictures are filed after publication, but may be picked up by the owner at Lanier County News office in Lakeland.

DEADLINE: the deadline each week for all news and advertising copy is Monday at 5:00 p.m. Submittals can be placed in the drop box located by the door of the Lanier County News office.

Elected Officials

Mayor of Lakeland
Bill Darsey • 229-482-3100

Lanier County Commission Chairman
Alex Lee • 229-482-2088

Lanier County Board of Education Center
Mitchell Chason
229-482-3966

GA State Representative
James D. Burchett
912-816-7745

GA State Senator
Ellis Black

Lanier County Sheriff
Nick Norton • 229-482-3545

OPINIONS & VIEWPOINTS

A word, please

By June Casagrande



Good news, kind of. I discovered a new sentence structure I hate. It's a pattern I see often but only recently, while editing an article, realized it belongs in my writing hall of shame.

Why would I bother hating certain sentence structures, you may wonder? Well, the better structured your sentence, the better you can get your message across. So bad sentences are roadblocks to effective communication.

In fact, this is what I love most about English grammar: It affords a mechanical understanding of how sentences perform — some of them like expertly engineered race cars, others like AMC Gremlins. So when a sentence is stalling and sputtering and straining, grammar is the toolbox you draw from as you get under the hood to fix it.

Here's an example of the sentence structure I just learned to hate: "There are lye-based products that clear debris out of pipes."

You may not see anything odious about that perfectly common sentence structure. But when you compare it to a version that's been revised by a professional editor, the problem becomes clear. Here's the edited version: "Lye-based products clear debris out of pipes."

Looking at the two versions, you immediately see that "there are" is unnecessary. Just extra words. Worse, these words force you to add one more word: "that." Instead of saying the products clear out debris, you must say these are products "that" clear out debris. So the structure creates a wordier-than-necessary sentence.

True, shorter sentences aren't always better. But they usually are. They make the best use of readers' time and attention, wasting none of it on unnecessary words.

But needless words aren't the only problem with the longer sentence. A closer look at the syntax reveals deeper problems.

In our revised version, the main clause has a tangible subject: lye-based products. Tangible subjects have a sensory effect on readers, evoking images, sounds or smells. Lye evokes burning and stinging and danger and a certain power. Even pairing it up with a bland, vague noun like "products" doesn't diminish its effect on readers.

In the original sentence, the subject was the pronoun "there." Technically, this is called the "existential there," which is just a structure we use to say something exists. As a subject, "there" is a real yawner — as devoid of specificity as a word can be.

Existential "there" always uses a form of the verb "be," in this case "are." Forms of "be" are among the least-dynamic verbs you'll find. Being is always less action-packed than doing. Yes, sometimes "there are" is the best wording, especially when your main point is that these things exist. But often, it's a weak choice — much weaker than a motion-oriented verb like "clear" in "clear debris."

But perhaps the worst thing about this sentence structure is that, through the use of "that," it demotes the verb phrase "clear debris" to the status of an adjective. That's the nature of relative clauses.

A relative clause is any clause hinged on one of the relative pronouns — that, which, who or whom — and used to modify a noun. As you already know, that's what adjectives do. They modify nouns. So relative clauses are adjective clauses. Consider "The car that I drove is in the parking lot." The relative clause "that I drove" isn't about driving, really, it's about specifying which car you're talking about. So it's basically an adjective.

"Clear debris" by itself is a verb phrase, but when you put "that" in front of it, the phrase is just modifying the noun "products." So our original sentence turns a dynamic, sensory action into a static adjective.

If you want to keep your readers awake, avoid sentences that start with "there is" or "there are" — especially if a "that" clause comes later in the sentence. Instead, consider making the noun the subject of the sentence and taking out "that" to make the relative clause into a true verb.

— June Casagrande is the author of "The Joy of Syntax: A Simple Guide to All the Grammar You Know You Should Know." She can be reached at JuneTCN@aol.com.

Valdosta Monkey's Thoughts & Opinions

Send your questions for

Valdosta Monkey to

Publisher@

laniercountynewsonline.com



The Chinese Spy Balloon Fiasco



Well, I've been watching you humans go absolutely ape she it crazy over the news story of a Chinese spy balloon floating over the US for the past few days.

Let me remind you that you humans that are freaking out over a spy balloon have more than likely been walking around for the past few years with a Chinese spyware program loaded on your digital devices and you have also voluntarily given that app permission to access your devices' cameras and microphones without hesitation or concern. This includes everyday citizens, politicians, military personnel and anyone else that has downloaded, installed and uses the application called TikTok.

If you have downloaded and installed TikTok I suggest you restore your device to factory defaults and stay away from the TikTok app completely.

What US citizens should be concerned with is how reliant the US is on products currently produced in China. American companies should find other suppliers that are in the US or are at the least US allies.

It seems that the US military is more concerned with proper pronoun usage or other liberal bull she it talking points other than how to kick the enemies ass.

Like it or not, regardless if it offends you or not, the primary job of the US military is to kill those that desire to kill America/Americans and to hell with your liberal silly feelings or sense of being offended. The enemy doesn't care about your feelings, they want you dead and out of their way.

Remember, you humans voted for this.

Who's to blame?

Go look in the mirror.

But hey!

No more mean tweets though.

Right?

Political Monkey

You are invited to "Like" and "Follow" Valdosta Monkey on Facebook and visit his webpage at www.valdostamonkey.com

